THESIS

DESIGN OF A WATER PIPE

SYSTEM

TO CREATE IMPROVED PRESSURES

IN

LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA

BY

H. J. COX

AND

M. P. LEVY.

Submitted as part requirement for a Bachleor of Science Degree in Engineering, with special attainments in Civil engineering, at Washington and Lee University.

MAY, 1931.

WASHINGTON & LEE UNIVERSITY
LEXINGTON, VA. 24450

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A Design of A Water Works System----W. T. Lyle.

Cast Iron Handbook--Cast Iron Manufactures Assn.

Water Supply Engineering-----Babbitt and Doland.

Public Water Supplies------Turneaure and Russell.

INDEX.

Part 1	Introduction.
Part 2	Consumption and Distribution.
Part 3	Hydrants and Mains.
Part 4	Analysis.
Part 5	Fire Streams.
Part 6	Investagation for allowable lost head.
Insert	Population by districts.
Part 7	Design of Mains .
Part 8	Design of Reservoir Lines.
Part 9	Investigation for maximum pressures in the middle of each district for Maximum Ordinary Consumption. Valves
Part 11	Fire Investagation.

DESIGN OF A WATER PIPE
SYSTEM
TO CREATE IMPROVED PRESSURES
IN
LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA.

Part 1.

INTRODUCTION

The need for a new design for the water pipes and mains in the town of Lexington is evident. There are no existing maps showing the plan of the system, nor is there any knowledge of the location of mains, hydrants, gate, blow off or waste valves, other than common knowledge of these men who have been connected with the water department of the town for a great many years. There is no engineer in charge of the water department, rather the head of the department is a man who is in his position because of his general knowledge of the existing system.

Upon investigation it has been found that the present system consists of a great number of dead ends, and that there is not proper pressure at the curb to supply some of the taller buildings in town. A map in the Supertendents of Water Work's office shows spressures at

several points in the town to be less than twenty (20) pounds.

The two educational institutions located in the town do not have proper pressures and supply in case of fire and this points to a great need for improvement.

There are three new developments in the town that have been added to the corporate limits of town since the present system of pipes and mains have been in existance.

These, Davidson Park, owneddby Washington and Lee University, and the other two, Morningside Heights, and Monroe Park, both located on a highlevel, do not receive the proper pressures. Some attempt should be made to take care of them.

With the present system of fire protection,

Volunteer, there should be sufficient pressure at the curb

and at the hydrants so that the efficiency of the fire

department could be brought to a higher standard. There were

two cases of fire losses this past year, when two fraternity

houses burned beyond repair, because the water pressure

was not great enough for sufficient fire streams.

The fire department would be far more efficient if the water supply were better. They are to be commended for the manner in which they fight fires under the present exixting conditions.

It is assumed by the writers that the present income of the town will not take care of this added expenditure. Therefor, the only method possible to raise the required funds would be by bond issues.

The State Of Virginia limits the amount of bond issues of a city or town. The only way that the town could raise the limit of their bond issue would be to annex new territory to the town. This would have to be accomplished in the following manner. A committee of public spirited citizens would take it upon themselves to go to Richmond, to attend the sessions of the legislature, and try to lobby a bill through the house and senate for a new bonding district. It is advisable for the local civic clubs to back these individuals to their fullest extent.

It is not the purpose of this thesis to show how the moneys are to be raised for the improvements, but to give a plan for a new water supply system that will benefit the town in giving better pressures at the curb.

CONSUMPTION AND DISTRIBUTION.

Per Capita.

The town has appartial metered system, and by data obtained it is found that the consumption is as follows:

For domestic purposes 30 gallons per capita per day.

For public purposes

Flushing, fire extinquishment,

5 gallons per capita per day.

For leakage. ..

5 gallons per capita per day.

For Commericial purposes

10 gallons per capita per day.

TOTAL CONSUMPTION:

50 gallons per capita per day.

The total consumption is assumed to lincrease 50% in the next thirty years, thus amounting to 75 gallons per capita per day.

The maxium daily rate is 150% of the average, thus amounting to 112.5 gallons per capita per day. The maximum hourly rate is 50% of this or 168.25 gallons per capita per day.

However it is safe to assume a lower rate, say, 150% of the monthly maximum, which is 125% of the average. This maximum hourly rate is 75 gallons x 1.25 x 1.50, which equals 140 gallons per capita per day.

This is assumed as the maximum ordinary consumption.

Total.

The consumption per capita is assumed to be uniform throughout the town, therefore, the total maximum consumption. thrty years hemce will be 140 times the population as determined. In determining the size of mains and small pipes the densities of population in the different districts of distribution must be considered.

Fire rate.

The consumption for fire extinguishment amounts to very little in the course of a year, but will determine the size of the distributing pipes. The total number of fire streams required at one time is determined Kuitchling's formula: $Y=2.8\sqrt{X}$; or $Y=2.8\times2.4=7$ streams

where Y = the number of streams. and X = the population in thousands.

Two thirds of this number are needed in the business districts. For the residential districts, from one fourth to one third of this number are required.

Streams of two hundred and fifty gallons are to be used in the business districts, and streams of one hundred and seventy five gallons in the residential districts.

Pressure:

Ordinary Service; The maxium pressure at the curb is thirty pounds in the residential districts and forty pounds in the business districts. The necessary pressure at hydrants for fire conditions should be one hundred pounds, but since the fire department is equipped with pumpers and it is impossible to obtain such pressures with the existing reservoir it will not be possible to maintain this pressure at hydrants. It will be necessary

however, to maintain a pressure of forty (40) pounds at the hydrants. For a two hundredand fixty gallon stream, with a nozzel pressure of forty five pounds, and a loss of head of eighteen pounds in each hundred feetdef hose, the maxium length of the hose is three hundred feet. For a hundred and seventy five gallon stream, with a nozzet pressure of thirty five pounds, the loss of head nine pounds per hundred feet of hose, the maximum length of hose is seven hundred feet

Source of Supply.

The source of supply is obtained brom an impounding reservoir a distance fourteen miles from Lexington, at Adcocks Knob. The water is then brought to the distributing reservoir, located one mile southwest of the town. The elevation at low water is two hundred and ninety two and seven tenths (292.7) feet above an assumed datum plane. Its capacity is about one million and a half gallons

Distribution Districts.

Mains shall be laid in the bounding streets of each district, and the district filled with a network of smaller distributing pipes, in order to provide good circulation, and avoid dead ends. The distributing pipes shall be laid on the north side of east and west streets, the same distance form the curb, and on the east side of north and south streets. The depth of the covering shall be

four feet, to prevent freezing. The size of the distributing pipes will be determined principally by the fire demand. The town is divided into districts depending upon whether they are business or residential districts. The distributing disricts are adopted as follows, indivated by bounding streets:

- No. 1. Main Street, Campbell Lane, Lewis Street, Massey Street, and Parry Lane.
- No. 2. V. M. I. Road, Main Street, College Lane, and Letcher Ave.
- No. 3. College Lane, Washington and Lee Road, Washington Street, Jefferson Street, and Main Street.
- No. 4. Massey Street, Lewis Street, Preston Street, and Randolph Street.
- No. 5. Randolph Street, Parpy Lane, Main Street, and McDowell Street.
- No 6. Jefferson Street, Washington Street, McLaughton Street, White Street, and Main Street.
- No 7. White Street, Main Street, Sellers Ave., Ross Road, and Woods Creek.
- No. 8. Main Street, Houston Street, Taylor Street, and Wallace Street.
- No. 9. Ross Road, Stonewall Street and Lane.
- No. 10. White Street, Jefferson Street, and Main Street.

Part 3.

HYDRANTS AND MAINS.

Hydrants.

The number and location of hydrants is determined by the number of streams in each district, which are as follows:

No.	1.	1/4	the	total	number	of	streams,	2.
No.		2/3	18	18	18	11	88	4.
	3.	2/3	10	11	ŧŧ	ŧŧ	48	4.
No.	4.	1/3	. 68	88	18	88	44	2.
No.		1/3	88	18	18	88	18	2.
No.	6.	1/3	##	88	68	16	68	2.
	7.	1/3	68	88	10	18	68	2.
	8.	1/3	#8	18	18	. 68	F#	2.
No.	9.	1/3	18	11	ŧf	11	18	2.
No.	10.	1/3	11	88	# /	10	18	2.

The drips of all hydrants shall drain into the sewers. The bottom of all hydrants are to be set four feet below the surface of the ground to provide against frost.

Mains.

The size of the mains shall be made economical for the ordinary supply unless the loss of head be prohibited at times of fire. The pressures at the curb should not exceed one hundred and thirty pounds. The draught of the main bounding a district is assumed to be proportional to the number of small pipes in the districts which lead to the main in question.

Part 4.

ANALYSIS.

The following analysis shows the amount of water carried by each main. The principle artery is brought from the distributing reservoir, across Woods Creek, into Washington Street, Around Washington and Lee and V. M. I. roads, to Main Street, thence to Sellers Ave., to Jackson Avenue, and Dwn White Street and Main Street.

Analysis:

- Line around Washington and Lee Campus, from Washington Street to Letcher Ave., 3/5 #3, all No. 2.
- Line around V. M. I. Road, College Lane to Main Street.
 All of #2, minus Letcher Ave.,
- Line in front of V. M. I. Commisary.

 All of line from intersection of two V.M.I. roads to intersection of road with Main street.
- Line in Main Street, Campbell Ave., from interection of V. M. I. road and Main Street to Lewis Street.

 3/13 #1,
- Line on Washington Street, from Reservoir inlet, to Jefferson Street.

 2/5 #3, all #1, 1/8 #6, 2/10 #10.
- Line on Jefferson Street, from Washington Street to Main Street. 2/5 #3, 2/10 #10, all #1.
- Line on Lower Main Street, from Flatiorn to W.M.I. Road. 3/13 #1.
- Line on Parry Lane, £ndm Massey Street, from Main to Lewis. 1/2 #1, 2/9 #4.
- Line on Lewis Street, from Massey Street to Campbell Lane. 4/13 #1,

- Line from Reservoir Line to foot of Hordan Street.
 All #7, all #8, all #9, all #5, 7/8 #6, 8/10 #10
 7/9 #4,
- Line along Woods Creek, from Jordan to White Street. 7/8 #6, all #5, 4/6 #7, 8/10 #10, 7/9 #4.
- Line along White Street and Main Street, from Woods Creek to Main and McDowell.

 7/8 #6, all #5, 4/7 #7, 8/10 #10, 7/9 #4,

- Line along Main Street, from Flatiorn to McDowell. 1/2 #10, 1/2 #5.
- Line from McDowell and Main to Preston and Randolph, along McDowell and Randolph.

 1/2 #5, 7/9 #4.
- Line along Preston Street, from Randolph to Lewis. 4/9 #4.
- Line along Randolph, from Preston to Massey. 1/2 #5, 3/9 #4.
- Line along Woods Creek, south from Jordan to Ross Road. All No.9, all #8, 3/7 #7.
- Line Along Ross Road, Stonewall to Jackson Ave., All #8, 3/7 #7.
- Line From Jackson and Ross Road to Sellers and Main, along Sellers and Jackson Ave.
 All #8, 2/7 #7.
- Line along Main Street, Sellers to White Street. 1/8 #8, 2/7 #7.
- Line along Wallace and Taylor Street. 1/2 # 8.
- Line along Houston Street, Main to Taylor. 1/4 #8.
- Line Along Ross Road, via Lane to Stonewall Street. 1/2 #9.
- Line along Ross Road, from Ross Road to Lane. 1/2 #9.
- Line from Nelson and McLaughton, to White Street. 3/8 #6.

The above analysis is recommended for use, but by no means is the only one that can be used. There are many ways that it can be drawn up, but underconsideration of the topgraphy of the town it has been decided that this is best to use. A linewas taken from the reservoir line to the foot of Jordan street, and it is assumed that by adding this additional line pressures in the south partnof town can be improved. The smallest pipe used in the bounding districts were taken as six inch, and those in the district were taken as four inch. The economic size of mains are obtained from the formula: (T & R, page 165)

$$S_1: S_2:: 1: n^4$$

1

Where S = the loss of head in the main. and S = the loss of head in the small pipes and n = the number of small pipes.

The mains will be laid in the same location as the distributing pipes, but the depths of the ditch will not exceed three (3) feet. The covering is less than required for distributing pipes, which is proper, since the danger of freezing is much less in the larger pipes.

-12-Part 5.

FIRE STREAMS

The number of fire streams have already been determined and is equal to seven. The fire streams by districts are as follows:

District No. 1.

 $1/4 \times 1500 = 375$ gallons per minute. Therefor, one 250 gallon stream and one 175 gallon stream shall be used.

District #2.

 $2/3 \times 1500 = 1,000$ gallons per minute. Therefore, two 250 gallon streams and three 175 gallon streams shall be used.

District #3.

Same as #2.

District #4.

1/3 x 1,500 = 500 gallons per minute.
Therefore, use three 175 gallon streams.

District #5.

Same as #4.

District #6.

Same as #4.

District #7.

Same as #4

District #8.

Same as #4.

District #9.

Same as #4

Disrtict #10.

Same as #4.

INVESTAGATION FOR ALLOWABLE LOST HEAD.

Investagation for ruling grade. (Worst condition at Corner of Taylor and Houston Street)

Surface Elevation at corner of Houston and Taylor Pressure head at curb line, 2.304 x 40	29 2.7 92.16
Piezometric level at this point	286.86
Low water level in reservoir Piezometric level at this point	292.7 286.86
Drop in Piezometric level	16.8
Distance in Thousand feet	10.0
Lost head per thousand	1.68

To find the lost head in feet per thousand for mains leading to each district.

District #8.

Distance to Taylor and Wallace	8,000ft.
Surface elevation at Taylor and Wallace Pressure head at the curb, 2.304 x 40	193.8 92. 6 6
Piezometric level at this point	285.96
Lost head in feet per thousand 6.73/8	. 84
Lewel of low water at reservoir Piezometric level at this point	292 .7 285 . 96
Drop in piezometric level	6.73

District #7.

Distance to Wh	ite and Main	Streets.	6,875 ft.
Surface elevat Pressure head			m200.1 87.55
Piezometric le	evel at this	point	287.65

Elevation of low water in reservoir Piezometric level at this point	292.7 287.65
Drop in piezometric level	5.1
Lost head in feet per thousand 5.1/6.8	.73
Districtr#66.	
Surface elevation, Jordan and White Pressure head at the curb, 40 x 2.304	184.3 92.16
Piezometric level at this point	276.46
Elevation of low mater in reservior Piezometric levels at this point	292.7 272.46
Drop in piezometric level Distance 5,360 ft.	16.24
Lost head feet per thousand 16.24/5336	3.04
District #10.	
Distance	6,380
Elevation corner Main and White Streets Piezometric level at this point, 2.304 x 38	200.54 87.55
Piezometric level at this point	288,09
Water elevation at reservoir Piezometric level at this point	292.7 288.09
Drop in Piezometric level	4.61
Lostbhead in feet per thousand 4.61/6.38	.72
District #5.	
Distance	6,340
Elevation at corner Randolph and McDowell Piezometric level at this point, 2.304 x 40	181.3
Piezometic level at this point	273.46
Elevation of low water at reservoir Piezomteric level at this point	292.7 273.5
Drop, in piezometric level	19.2

Lost head	per	thousand	feet	19.	2/6.	3
-----------	-----	----------	------	-----	------	---

3.04

District #4

Distance Surface elevation at Lewis and Massey Pressure head at curb, 2.304 x 40	9,500 ft 175.7 92.16
Diezometric level at this point	267 . 86
Elevation of low water at reservior Piezometric level at this point	292.7 267.86
Drop in piezometric level	24.9
Lost head in feet per one thousand, 24.9/9.5	2.6

District #3.

Distance	6,110 feet
Surface elevation on W.L.U. road Pressure at cubb, 2.304 x 40	150.7 92.2
Piezometric level at this point	242.9
Elevatorion of lowswater at reservoir Piezometric level at this point	292.7 242.9
Dwop in piezometric level	29 • 8
Loss head in feet per thousand, 49.8/6.11	8.1

District #2

Distance	8,104 feet
Surface elevation at V.M.I. Lane Pressure head at the curb, 40×2.304	144.3 92.2
Piezometric elevation at this point	236.5
Elevation of water in reservior .Piezometric level at this point	292.7 236.5
Drop in piezomtric level	56.2
Loss head in feet per thousand 56.2/8.1	6.9

District #1.

Distance	9,560 Feet
Surface elevation at Lewis and Massey Pressure head at this point, 2.304 x 40	175.7 92.2
Piezometric level at this point	267.9
Elevation of low water at reservior Piezomteric level at this point	292.7 26 7. 9
Drop in piezometric level	24.8
Lost head pn feet per thousand, 24.8/9.56	2.6

Population by Districts.

Number	1	700
Mumber	2	1,300
Number	3	5 6 0
Number	4	610
Number	5	1,305
Number	6	690
Number	7 /	1,055
Number	8	400
Number	9	50
Number	10	1,085.

Total population 7,695

Part 7.

DESIGN OF MAINS.

LEWIS STREET,	MASSEY	ST T	O CAMPBELL	AVE.,

Analysis: 4/13 #1

140

____ _ _ .0972.

4/13 x 700 x.0972

1440

20.9 g.p.m. 6 inch

Size of pipe

MASSEY STREET, MAIN TO LEWIS.

Analysis: 1/2 #1, 2/9 #4.

1/2 x 700 x .0972 $2/9 \times 610 \times .0972$

34.0 13.1

47.1

Sixe of pipe

6 inch

MAIN STREET, FROM LEWIS STREET TO V.M.I. ROAD.

Analysis: 3/13 #1,

 $3/13 \times 700 \times .0972$ Size of pipe

15.6

6 inch

LOWER MAIN STREET, FLATIRON TO V.M.I. ROAD.

Analysis: 3/13 #1.

Same as one above, use 6 inch pipe.

LINE AR OUND V.M.I.

Analysis; All #2, minus Letcher Ave.

11,300 - 175) 0972 Size of pipe

109.35 6 inch

LINE AR OUND WASHINGTON AND LEE CAMPUS.

Analysis: 3/5 #3, all #2

3/5 x 500 x .0972 1300 x .0972 Size of pipe

154.1 6 inch

PRESTON STREET, RANDOLPH TO LEWIS.	
Analysis: 4/9 #4,	
4/9 x 610 x .0972 Sixe of pipe	26.3 6 inch
RANDOLPH, PRESTON TO MASSEY.	
Analysis: 1/2 #5, 3/9 #4,	Consequence
1/5 x 1305 x .0972 3/9 x 610 x .0972	63.4
Size of pipe	83.1 6 inch
McDOWELL ST, MAIN STREET TO PRESTON STREET.	
Analysis: 1/2 #5, 7/9 #4.	
1/2x≇305 x .0972 7/9 x 610 x .0972	63.4 4.6
Size of pipe	68.0 6 inch
MAIN STREET, FALT IORN TO MCDOWELL STREET.	
Analysis; 1/2 #10, 1/2 #5.	
1/2 x 1085 x .0972 1/2 x 1305 x 00972	52.1 63.4
Size of pipe	115.5 8 inch pipe
JEFFERSON STREET, WASHINGTON TO MAIN STREET	
Analysis: 2/5 #3, 2/10 #10, all #1.	
2/5 x 500 x .0972 1/5 x 1085 x .0972 700 x .0972	19.4 21.09 68.04
Size of pipe	108.5 inch

WASHINGTON STREET, RESERVOIR INLET TO JEFFERSON STREET

Analysis: 2/5 #2, all #1, 1/8 #6, 2/10 #10.

2/5 x 5500 x .0972 1/5 x 1085 x .0972 1/8 x 690 x .0972 700 x .0972	19.4 21.1 8.39 68.4 116.8 8 inch
MATALICUMON NURTOON COUNTRE OF BUILDING	
McLAUGHTON, NELSON STREET TO WHITE STREET. Analysis: 3/8 #6.	
	0.4 5
3/8 x 690 x . 6 972 Size of pipe	24.5 6 inch
WHITE STREET, WOODS CREEK TO MAIN STREET.	
Analysis: 7/8 #6, all #5, 4/7 #7, 8/10 #10, 7/9	#4.
7/8 x 690 x .0972 7/9 x 610 x .0972 8/10 x 1085 x .0972 6690 x .0972 1305 x .0972 150 x .0972 400 x .0972 1055 x .0972	58.7 46.1 84.4 67.0 127.0 4.8 38.9 107.9
Use 16 inch pipe	924.8
WOODS CREEK, JORDAN STREET TO ROSS ROAD	
Analysis: All #9, all #8, 3/7 #7,	
3/7 x 1055 x .0972 400 x .0972 50 x .0972	44.0 38.9 4.8
Use eight inch pipe.	87.7
ROSS ROAD, STONEWALL STREET TO JACKSON AVE.	
Analysis: All #8, 3/7 #7.	
3/7 x 1055 x .0972 400 x .0972	44.0 38.9 82.9
Use 6 inch pipe.	

88.2
4. 8 29 .3
34.1
2.4

ROSS ROAD, TO LANE

SAME AS ABOVE----USE SIX INCH PIPE.

Part 8. DESIGN OF RESERVOIR LINES.

LINE	FROM RESERVOIR TO FIRST BRANCH.		
	Total number gallons perdday 1,	077,	300
	Total gallons per minute		741
	Lost head on flattest grade		.72
	Size of pipe		16"
TIME	FROM FIRST BRANCH TO SECOND BRANCH.		
	Total number gallons per day	404,	640
	Total number gallons per minute		281
	Lost head on flattest grade		2.6
	Size of pipe		10"
LINE	FROM RESERVOOR LINE TO FOOT OF JORDAN STREET.	ı	
	Total number gallons per day	662,	400
	Total number gallons per minute		460
	Lost head on flattest grade		.72

Size of pipe

12"

Partm9.

INVESTAGATION FOR MAXIMUM PRESSURES IN THE MIDDLE OF EACH DISTRICT FOR MAXIUM ORDINARY CONSUMPTION.

District #3.

	DISC	1100 #0.		
		Distance, Distributing Reservoir to corner W. road and Washington Street		55 feet
		Lost head per one thousand feet Logst head for 5,535 feet	• 32	1.76
		Distance on Washington St., from W.L.U. Road Jefferson Street. 725 fee		
		Lost head in one thousand feet Lost head in 725 feet	•55	• 39
		Distance from Washington St. to Flatifon on Jefferson Street 975 fee	t	
		Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head in 975 feet	1.9	1.85
		Distance into district 200 fee Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head in two hundred feet	t 1.5	• 3
		Total lost head		4.33
		Elevation of low water in reservoir Total lost head	292.	33
		Elevation of hydraulic grade line at this point	288.	. 4
		Elevation of ground here	95.	
		Piezometric difference	193.	, 4
		Pressure at this point	84	#s
()	Dist	rict #2.		
		Lost head from Reservoir to W. L. U. Road		1.76
		Distance from Washington St and W.L.U. Road to College lane 1,950 f	eet	
		Lost head per one thousand feet	3.9	
		Lost head in 1,950 feet		7.41

Lost Lost head from College Lane into district	3.63
Total lost head	12.80
Elevation of low water in reservoir Total loss of head	292.7 12.80
Elevation of hydraulic grade line Ground elevation at this point	279.9 137.5
Piezometric elevation at this point	142.4
Pressure at this point, 142.4 x .434	62 paunds.
District #1.	
Wotal lost head from Reservoir to Flaticon	4.03
Distance along Parry lane and Lewis Street 2,	130'
Lost head per one thousand feet .4 Lost head for 2,130 feet	.5 •96
Distance from Massey to Diamond on Lewis 1050 Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head for 1,050 feet	
Distance into Curruthers and Dorman lanes, 15 Lost head per one thousand feet 2.5 Lost head for 1,500 feet	
Total lost head	9.70
Elevation of low water at reservoir Total lost head	292.7 9.7
Elevation of hydraulic grade line Eleveation of surface	283.0 134.0
Piezometric elevation here	149.0
Pressure at this point, 149.0 x .434	64.5 pounds
District #6.	
Total lost thead from Reservior to Washington and W. L. U Road	Street 1.76
Distance from Reservoir line to McDowell Stre	eet 1,950 feet
Lost per per one thousand feet Lost head for 1,950 feet	•3 5•5 8 5

	Distance from McLaughin Street into to Jackosn Ave and Preston Street, 1,000 feet Lost head per one thousand		2.0
	Total lost head		4.34
	Elevation of low water at reservoir Total lost head	4	2.7
	Elevation of hydraulic grade line Elevation of surface here	288 16'	7.0
	Piezometric elevation at this point		L. 4
	Pressure at this point, 121.4 x .434	52	2.69 pounds
Dist	rict #10.		
	Lost head from Reservior to W.L.U. Road and Washington Street		1.76
	Lost head ffom reservoir line to Washington and Jefferson Street	1	2.15
	Lost head from Washington and/Jefferson Streets to Flatiorn	700	1.85
	Distance from Flatiorn to Nelson Street, 1, Lost head per one thousand feet	.60	PI C
	Lost head for 1,320 feet Distance in district, 1,000 feet		.78
	Lost head per one thousand feet		,15,
	Total lost head		6.69
	Elevation at reservoir at low water Total lost head	292.7	
	Elevation of Hydraulic grade line Elevation of surface here	286.0 169.1	
	Piezometric elevation at this point	116.9	
	Pressure at this point, 116.9 x .434	50.73por	ands
Dist	rict # 5.		
	Total lost head		6.69
	Elevaton of Reservoir at low water Total lost head	292.7 6.69	
	Elevation of hydraulic grade line Elevation of surface	286.0 134.0	
	Piezometric elevation	152.0	

District #4

Total lost head to Massey and Lewis Stree Distance on Lewis Street, from Massey to Washington Street, 750 Feet.	t s	4,99	
Lost head per thousand feet Lost head for 700 feet Distance into district, 750 feet	• 35	.1	
Lost head for one thousand feet Lost head for 750 feet	2.0	1.5	
Total lost head		6.59	
Elevation of low water at reservir Total lost head	292.7 6.59		
Elevation of hydraulic grade line EElevation of surface	826.1 134.0		
Piezometric eleatiation at this point	152.1		
Pressure at this point, 152.1 x .434		66 pc	ounds

District #7.

Distance from Reservoir line to foot of Jordan Street 705 feet

Lost head per one thousand feet	. 48	
Lost head for 705 feet		.33
Distance from Reservor to Reservior line, 3	5,500 ft.	
Lost head per one thousand feet	.14	
Lost head for 3,500 feet		. 49
Distance along Woods Creek, 975 feet		
Lost head per 1,000 feet	. 34	
Lost head for 975 feet		.33
Distance along Ross Road, from Jackson Ave	9	
to Stonewall Street, 525 feet		
Lost head for one thousand feet	.1.5	
Lost head for 525 feet		. 75
Distance from Ross road to Main and Seller	s. 1370fe	et
Lost head per one thousand feet	1.4	
Lost head for 1,370 feet		1.91
Distance on Main from Sellers to Edmundson,	380 feet	
Lost head for one thousand feet	3.5	
Lost head for 380 feet,		1.33
Distance up Edmundson to West Side Court,	855 feet	200
Lost head per one thousand feet		
Lost head for 855 feet	200	1.7
Hose Head for Goo feet		-L + (
Total lost head	•	5.31
TOOUT TOOU HEAD		0007

Z	Elevation of low water at Reservoir Toal lost head	292.7 5.3	
	Elevation of hydraulic grade line Eleation of surface at this point	287.4 161.2	
	Piezometric elevation at this point	126.2	
	Pressure at this point, 126.2 x .434	54.6 lbs.	•
Di	istrict #8.		
	Lost head to Corner Sellers and Main Distance from Sellers and Main to Taylor and Wallace, 1,770 feet Loss of head per one thousand feet Loss of head for 1,770 feet	.3	
	Loss of head (Total)	2.81	
	Elevation of low water at reservoir, Total lost head	297 . 2 2 . 8	
	Elevation of hydraulic Grade line Elevation of surface at this point	294.4 194.0	
	Elevation of piezometric level	100.0	
	Pressure at this point, 100 x .434	43.4 pound	ds.
Di	istrict #9.		
	Loss head to Ross Road Distance along Ross Road, 1650 feet Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head for 1,650 feet	1.15 .8	
	Total lost head	2.45	
	Elevation of low water at low water Total lost head	292.7 2.5	
	Elevation of hydraulicigrade line Surface elevation at this point	290.2 184.3	
	Piezometric level at this point Pressure at this point 105.9 x .434	105.9 4 6 pound	ds

()

Part 10.

VALVES.

Gate Valves.

Gate valves are necessary in case of breaks in the line, and so that sections of districts, or districts can be cut off from the remainder of the town in case of needed repairs within that section. There should be as few gate valves as possible, to cut the expense of the plan. In case of a break, not more than four lines must be exposed, or less than three. This condition is set down for districts fiverand ten, which are business districts. In the remainder of the town, not more than five lines should be exposed, or less than three.

There are exceptions to this rule however, when less valves can be used where there remains more than five lines exposed. This exception is left to the judgement of the writers in cases where the lines are short, and the draught on them are not excessive.

Waste Valves.

Three waste values are to be used in the town, and are to be connected with the nearest sewer. The purpose of these values are to drain the area in which there is a break, so that repairs can be made. These values are also used for blow off values.

Blow Off Valves:

Whereever there is a depression in the topography

of the town, or where the velosity in the pipes might be low, deposits of mud are apt to collect. Blow off valves are placed throughout the town to care for this need. In this case, the blow off valves and the waste valves were used interchangabely. In this manner less valves are needed, and expense cut.

Part 11.

FIRE INVESTAGATION.

District #2.

Assume fires in number 2 and three.

Fire in #2, in middle of district.

Line around W.L.U. Analysis: For fire, all #2, 3/5 #3. For M.O.C.all #2, 3/5 #3.

For fire consumption. 3/5 x 1,000 All #2	600 g.p.m. 1,000 g.p.m.
For M.O.C.	154 g.p.m.
Total draught on line at times of fire	1,754 g.p.m
Lost head in feet per thousand, (8" pipe) Lost head to middle of this district	65 feet 51 feet
Line to middle of V.M.I. Analysis: For fire consumption All #2, For M.O.C.	1,000 g.p.m. 109.4 g.p.m.
Lost head in feet per thousand Lost head to middle of district Distance 1,500 feet Lost head in ths distance (Total) 39 1 51	26 feet 39 feet 91 feet
Piezometric elevation at V.M.I. Road Total lost head	227.2 feet 90.0
Piezometric difference Pressure at this point 136.2 x .434	136.2 59 pounds.

District #3.

Assume fires in #2 and #3.

Fire in middle of District #3.

Line from Letcher Ave to middle of V.M.I. Road. Analysis: 1/2 fire daraght, and 1/2 M.O.C.

Total draught 1/2 x 109.4 \$ 1/2 x 1,600	854.7
Lost head in feet per thousand	60 feet
Distance 1200 feet Lost head in this distance	75 fe t t
Piezometric elevation at center of W.L.U. Road Total lost head to this point	271.5 feet 75.0 feet
Difference in elevation at this point	196.5
Pressure at this point	64 pounds.
District #1.	
Assume fires in Districts 1 and 4.	
Fire in District #1, corner Maury and Diamond.	
Line on WashingtonbStreet, irom W.L.U. Road to Jeff (Sinch pipe)	erson Street
Analysis: For M.O.C. 2/5 #2, all #1, 188 #6, 2/10 # 10 Fire, for #1 and #4 375 1 500	116.8 g.p.m 875.0 g.p.m.
Total draught	991.8 g.p.m
Loss per onethousand feet loss along Washington to Jefferson	25 feet 18.7 feet
Jefferson Street, Washington Street to Main Street	
Analysis: For M.O.C., 2/5 #3, 2/10 #10, all #1 Fire Number 1 and 4	108.5 g.p.m. 875 g.p.m.
Total fire draught	983.5 g.p.m.
Loss in line on Fefferson to Main Street Try 8 inch pipe Loss head per one thousand feet	25 feet
Loss in this distance	22.5 feet
Line along Massey Street, Parry Lane to Lewis Streetotal Distance 1,800 feet	t.
Analysis: M.O.C. 1/2 #1, 2/9 #4. Fire, #4 and #1	47.1 g.p.m 875.0 g.p.m
Total draught	922.1 g.p.m

Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head in this distance	23 feet 41.4 feet
Line along Lewis and Diamond Streets Total distance, 1050 feet Analysis: M.O.C. 1/2 #1 Fire all #1	34.0 g.ptm. 1375.0 g.ptm.
Total draught	409 g.p.m.
Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head in this distance	22 feet 22 feet
Line along Diamond Street, Lewis to Massey. Total distance 900 feet Analysis: M.O.C. 3/13 #1	113 feet
Total loss of head	104.6 feet
Piezometric elevation Total lost head to the point in question Loss in head Surface elevation at this pojnt Pressure at this point	241.5 104.6 136.9 120.0 6.94 feet
District #4.	
Assume fires in Districts #4 and #1.	
Fire at intersection of Fuller and Washington Street	ets
Loss head to Massey and Lewis	72.6
Line along Lewis, to Washington Street 600 feet Anallis: M.O.C. 1/2 #1, 2/9 #4. Fire,	47.1 g.p.m. 500 g.p.m.
Total draught	547.1 g.p.m.
Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head for 600 feet	30 feet 18 feet

Line along Washington Street, from Lewis to Fuller: Distance, 600 feet

Analysis: M.O.C. 1/9 #4 Fire,	!	24 g.p.m. 500 g.p.m.	
Total draught	****	524 g.p.m.	
Lost head in this distance		18 feet	
Total lost head to the point in question		108 feet	
Piezometric elevation at WLU Road and Washington Total lost head	St.	241.5 feet 108.0 feet	
Difference in Piezometric elevation		133.5 feet	
Pressure at this point		9.2 pounds	

Since there is only one line pulling from this hinesure this pressure need only be enough for the pumper and is sufficient.

District #10.

Assume fires in Districts #5 and 10.

Fire at Nelson Street, between Jefferson and Main Streets, in the middle of the block.

Piezometric elevation foot of Jordan Street 292.7

Line along White Street, from Woods Creek to Main Street, Analysis: M.O.C. 7/8 #6, all #5, 4/7 #7, 8/10 #10, 7/9 #4.

924.8 g.p.m. 1,000 g.p.m.
1,924.8 g.p.m.
14 feet 22.4 feet
115.5 g.p.m. 1,000.0 g.p.m
1,115.5 g.p.m
32 feet 1,600 feet

Dine into center of district, four inch pipe Lost head	10 feet
Total lost head to the point in question	83.5 feet
Piezometric elevation at foot of Jordan Street Surface elevation	292.7 feet 155.0 feet
Total lost head	137.7 feet 83.5 feet
Piezometric Difference	54.2 feet
Pressure at this point	22 pounds.

###Which is sufficient because fire peessure draws on only one line.

D'istrict #5.

Assume fires in District #5 and #10

Fire in #5 on Nelson Street, between Randolph and Main.

Loss to Main and Nelson	73.5 feet
Loss to fire	10.0 feet
Total lost heas	83.5 feet
Piezometric elevation at foot of Jordan Street	292.7 feet
Surface elevation at point in question	150.0 feet
Elevation of hydraulic grade line	142.7 feet
Lost head to this point	83.5 feet
Piezometric difference	59.2 feet
Pressure at this point	26 pounds

District #6.

Assume fires at Preston and Jackson

Lost head to Jackson and White Street per one thousand 14 feet Distance, 1350 feet
Total lost head for this distance 19 feet

Lost head in 4" pipe to Preston Street	23.3 f	eet
Total lost head	42.3 f	eet
Piezometric elevation at foot Jordan Street Surface elevation	292.7 165.2 127.5	
Pressure at this point	55 pou	ınds
District #8.		
Assume fires in Districts #7 and #8.		
Loss to Main and White Line from Main and White to Houston and Taylor S Analysis: M.O.C. Fire	Streets 38.9	feet g.p.m. g.p.m.
Total draught	538.9	g.p.m.
Lost head per one thousand feet / Lost head for 1000 feet	32 f 32 f	
Total lost head	54.4	feet
Piezometric elevation at foot of Jordan Street Total lost head	292.7 54.4	
Surface elevation	238.3 194.7	•
Difference in piezometric elevation	43.6	
Pressure,	20 pound	.S.
District #7.		
Assume fires in 7 & 9.		
Reservior line to Ross Road		
Max. Ordinary Consumption Fire draught	1,000.0	g.p.m. g.p.m
Total fire draught	1,087.7	
Assume eight inch line, 900 feet long Lost head per one thousand feet	26.0	

23.4

Lost head to this distance

Line on Ross Road, Stonewall Street to Jackson Distance, 500 feet Draught: For M.O.C. For fire	Ave. 82.9 g.p.m. 500 g.p.m.
Total Draught	582.9 g.p.m.
Lost head per one thousand feet Lost head for 500 feet	40.0 fe t 20 feet
Lost head in 4"pipe, into district	26 feet
Total lost head	69.4 feet
Piezometric elevation at foot of Jordan Street Surface elevation	292.7 feet 156.0 feet
Elevation of hydraulic grade line Total lost head	136.6 feet 69.4 feet
Difference in piezometric elevation	77.2 feet
Pressure at this point	32 pounds.
District $\#$ 9. Assume fires in 7 and 9.	
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road Tost head to center of district	23.4 feet
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road ost head to center of district Distance 1300 feet Draught: M.O.C. *** Fire	4.8 g.p.m. 500.0 g.p.m
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road Lost head to center of district Distance 1300 feet Draught: M.O.C. *** Fire	4.8 g.p.m.
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road Cost head to center of district Distance 1300 feet Draught: M.O.C. *** Fire Total draught Lost head into district	4.8 g.p.m. 500.0 g.p.m 504.8 g.p.m. 15.6 feet 39 feet 292.7 165.0
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road Lost head to center of district Distance 1300 feet Draught: M.O.C. *** Fire Total draught Lost head into district Total lost head to point in question Piezometric elevation at foot of Jordan Street	4.8 g.p.m. 500.0 g.p.m 504.8 g.p.m. 15.6 feet 39 feet 292.7 165.0 127.7 39.0
Assume fires in 7 and 9. Lost head to Ross road	4.8 g.p.m. 500.0 g.p.m 504.8 g.p.m. 15.6 feet 39 feet 292.7 165.0